	Case 2:12-cv-01723-JCM-PAL Document 13 Filed 03/25/13 Page 1 of 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
7	HICA EDUCATION LOAN 2:12-CV-1723 JCM (PAL)
8	CORPORATION,
9	Plaintiff(s),
10	V.
12	JOHN H. CLARK III,
13	
14	Defendant(s).
15	ORDER
16	Presently before the court is plaintiff's motion for default judgment. (Doc. # 12).
17	Plaintiff moves the court to enter default judgment against defendant John H. Clark, III. (Id.).
18	Plaintiff filed a summons returned executed with the court. (Doc. # 6). Defendant has not filed an
19	answer to the complaint and the deadline date for filing an answer has passed. Plaintiff filed a for
20	entry of clerk's default, and the clerk of the court entered default against defendant. (Docs. ## 8 &
21	11).
22	Default judgment is appropriate "when a party against whom a judgment for affirmative relief
23	is sought has failed to plead or otherwise defend, and that failure is shown by affidavit or otherwise.
24	" Fed.R.Civ.P. 55(a). Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55(b)(2) provides that "a court may enter
25	a default judgment after the party seeking default applies to the clerk fo the court as required by
26	subsection (a) of this rule." Fed.R.Civ.P. 55(b)(2).
27	Obtaining a default judgment entails two steps: "first, the party seeking a default judgment
28	
n dae	

## Case 2:12-cv-01723-JCM-PAL Document 13 Filed 03/25/13 Page 2 of 2

1	must file a motion for entry of default with the clerk of a district court by demonstrating that the
2	opposing party has failed to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint, and, second, once the
3	clerk has entered a default, the moving party may then seek entry of a default judgment against the
4	defaulting party." See UMG Recordings, Inc. v. Stewart, 461 F. Supp. 2d 837, 840 (S.D. Ill. 2006).
5	Where a party has not been properly served, there is not basis for a court to enter default judgment.
6	See Fairly v. Potter, 2003 WL 402261, *4 (N.D. Cal. 2003).
7	The choice whether to enter a default judgment lies within the discretion of the trial court.
8	Aldabe v. Aldabe, 616 F.3d 1089, 1092 (9th Cir. 1980). In the determination of whether to grant a
9	default judgment, the trial court should consider the seven factors articulated in <i>Eitel v. McCool</i> , 782
10	F.2d 1470, 1471-72 (9th Cir. 1986). These factors are: (1) the possibility of prejudice to plaintiff,
11	(2) the merits of the claims, (3) the sufficiency of the complaint, (4) the amount of money at stake,
12	(5) the possibility of a dispute concerning material facts, (6) whether default was due to excusable

Plaintiff has properly complied with Rule 55. Defendant has not appeared and answered, even though defendant has been served. The deadline date for filing an answer has expired. After considering the *Eitel* factors, the court finds it appropriate to enter default judgment against the defendant.

neglect, and (7) the policy favoring a decision on the merits. *Id.* In applying these *Eitel* factors, "the

factual allegations of the complaint, except those relating to the amount of damages, will be taken

as true." Geddes v. United Fin. Group, 559 F.2d 557, 560 (9th Cir. 1977); see Fed.R.Civ.P. 8(d).

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that plaintiff's motion for default judgment (doc. # 12) be, and the same hereby, is GRANTED.

DATED March 25, 2013.

24

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGI

Elle C. Mahan

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge